

③ Finding A^{-1}

Ex If $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$, find A^{-1} .

i.e., $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -? \\ ? \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

See 2.1 #25

Idea

$$[A] \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \vec{x}_1 & \vec{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}}_{A^{-1}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

See 2.1 #53 (Block multiplication)

Simultaneously solve 2 systems:

$$A \vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A \vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

↑
same
Coeff. matrix

← Solving for
cols
of A^{-1}

~ 1/5
understood

Good idea to
bring up.
Keep "Idea"

Makes things very
convenient for us!

Method 1 (Gauss-Jordan Elim.)

Ex $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & | & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & | & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ "adjoining"

Set up $[A|I]$

↓ ER0s
 $[I|A^{-1}]$

$$\begin{array}{l} R_2: 2 \quad 4 \quad | \quad 0 \quad 1 \\ +(-2)R_1: -2 \quad -6 \quad | \quad -2 \quad 0 \\ \hline \text{new } R_2: 0 \quad -2 \quad | \quad -2 \quad 1 \end{array}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -2 & 1 \end{array} \right] \leftarrow \div (-2)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right]$$

$$R_1 + (-3)R_2 \rightarrow R_1$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & -2 & \frac{3}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} \text{--- } A^{-1} \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ \vec{x}_1 \quad \vec{x}_2 \\ A\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad A\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

In general ($n \times n$)

$$\begin{array}{l} [A \mid I_n] \\ \downarrow \text{EROS} \\ [I_n \mid \text{becomes } A^{-1}] \end{array}$$

If you ever get a row of "0's" in the left square, you can't get $[I \mid A^{-1}]$, and A^{-1} doesn't exist. (A is singular).

$$\text{Ex } [0 \ 0 \ 0 \mid 3 \ 5 \ 4]$$


Method 2 (Shortcut formula Only for 2×2 Matrices)

$$\text{If } A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

then the determinant of A

$$= \det(A), \text{ or } |A|$$

$$= ad - bc$$

Think:  butterfly

and $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$

← signs
adjoint of A ← switch

If $\det(A) = 0$, A^{-1} doesn't exist.

^{old}
Ex $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\det(A) = (1)(4) - (3)(2)$$

$$= -2$$

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{-2} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -3 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -2 & \frac{3}{2} \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Know both methods!

not abs. value

/ skew
diagonal

© Properties

Assume for now: inverses exist,
sizes compatible

(Key)

$$\textcircled{1} (A^{-1})^{-1} = A \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{like } A^T \\ \text{props.} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\textcircled{2} (AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$$

Proof Show: the inverse of AB is $B^{-1}A^{-1}$.
Sufficient to show $(AB)(B^{-1}A^{-1}) = I$.

$$\begin{aligned} & (AB)(B^{-1}A^{-1}) \\ &= A \underbrace{(BB^{-1})}^I A^{-1} \quad (\text{Matrix mult. is assoc.}) \\ &= \underbrace{A I}_A A^{-1} \\ &= AA^{-1} \\ &= I \end{aligned}$$

Remember
series
 $1-3+3-5+5-7$

"telescoping proof"

↔

cancellations

Is this B?
Not nec.

Warning ABA^{-1} (Matrix mult. is not comm.)
"in the way"

③ Cancellation Props.

If C is invertible,

$$\begin{aligned} AC=BC &\rightarrow A=B \\ CA=CB &\rightarrow A=B \quad \text{(HW)} \end{aligned}$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} AC=BC & \quad CA=CB \\ \underbrace{A}_{I} \underbrace{C}_{I}^{-1} = \underbrace{B}_{I} \underbrace{C}_{I}^{-1} & \quad \left(\underbrace{C^{-1}C}_{I} = \underbrace{C^{-1}C}_{I} B \right) \\ A=B & \quad A=B \end{aligned}$$

Also

can't invert 0

④ $(cA)^{-1} = \frac{1}{c} A^{-1}$, if $c \neq 0$

Ex $(3A)^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} A^{-1}$

$$\checkmark: (3A)\left(\frac{1}{3}A^{-1}\right) = \underbrace{(3)\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}_I \underbrace{(AA^{-1})}_I = I$$

⑤ $(A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T$

The inverse and transpose ops. commute.

⑥ $(A^k)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^k$, if k is a natural #
call A^{-k}

"Inverse" and exponentiation commute.

Warning $(A+B)^T = A^T + B^T$, but $(A+B)^{-1} \neq A^{-1} + B^{-1}$ usually

 T of a sum = sum of T 's

① Inverses and Systems

System $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ where A is square.

If A is invertible \rightarrow

This system has 1 unique sol'n,
namely $\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$.

Why is why?
suff. for
uniqueness
if we assume
 A^{-1} is unique?
Uniqueness of
solv. require
uniqueness
of A^{-1} .

Why? $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$
 $\rightarrow A^{-1}A\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$
 $\rightarrow \vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$

Why unique?
 If $A\vec{x}_1 = \vec{b}$
 $A\vec{x}_2 = \vec{b}$
 $\Rightarrow A\vec{x}_1 = A\vec{x}_2$
 $\Rightarrow \vec{x}_1 = \vec{x}_2$

If A is singular \rightarrow

This system has either no sol'n
or ∞ many.

Ex Solve $\begin{cases} 2x_1 + 5x_2 = 4 \\ x_1 + 3x_2 = 3 \end{cases}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$A \quad \vec{x} \quad \vec{b}$

If A is inv'ble,
I could have
 $\vec{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ 11 \end{pmatrix}$
 \rightarrow 1 sol'n.

Optional

$$\det(A) = (2)(3) - (5)(1) = 1 \neq 0, \text{ so } A \text{ is invertible}$$

Find A^{-1} (easy for 2×2)

$$\frac{1}{\det(A)} = 1$$

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{1} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{x} = A^{-1} \vec{b}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = -3 \\ x_2 = 2 \end{cases}$$

Criticisms of $\vec{x} = A^{-1} \vec{b}$ Method

Only works when A square, invertible.

Finding $A^{-1} \vec{b}$ is less efficient for solving larger systems, (compared to Ch. 1 methods), unless you want to solve several systems w/ same A :

$$\begin{aligned} A \vec{x}_1 &= \vec{b}_1 \\ A \vec{x}_2 &= \vec{b}_2 \\ A \vec{x}_3 &= \vec{b}_3 \end{aligned} \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{may depend} \\ \text{on previous} \\ \text{sols} \end{array} \right\} \text{adaptive} \\ & \text{algs?}$$

You just need to find A^{-1} once.
See p. 76

Using Software, Theory

Even then, could solve systems simult.

$[A | \vec{b}_1 | \vec{b}_2 | \dots]$ use finding A^{-1}

Discuss later - 2.4

LU-fact method is better for creat. th.

CAN SKIP

2.3.9

Sensitivity Analysis $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ (what happens to \vec{x} (solution) if you jiggle \vec{b} ?)

Meyer 33-34

* * *

Old Ex
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}_A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}_{\vec{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}_{\vec{b}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}_{\vec{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}_{A^{-1}} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}_{\vec{b}}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

In an ill-conditioned system, the change is huge. (Near-ill structures)

"jiggle" \vec{b}
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}_A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}_{\vec{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.1 \\ 2.9 \end{bmatrix}_{\text{new } \vec{b}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}_{\vec{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}_{\text{same } A^{-1}} \begin{bmatrix} 4.1 \\ 2.9 \end{bmatrix}_{\text{new } \vec{b}}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -2.2 \\ 1.7 \end{bmatrix}$$

what does A^{-1} mean? (6.30)

or
$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4.2 \\ 2.8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -1.4 \\ 1.4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4+k \\ 3-k \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow$$

with words change linearly

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3x_1 - 5x_2 + 18k \\ -x_1 + 2x_2 - 3k \end{bmatrix}$$