

JUMBLING TSPs

How is $(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{c}$ related to $(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{c}) \cdot \mathbf{b}$?

Determinant approach:

$$(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{c} = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{c}) \cdot \mathbf{b} = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

The determinant forms differ only by a single switch of two rows, so they differ only by a sign.

$$\boxed{(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{c} = -[(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{c}) \cdot \mathbf{b}]}$$

Geometric approach:

Both $|(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{c}|$ and $|(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{c}) \cdot \mathbf{b}|$ represent the volume of the parallelepiped determined by the position vectors for \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b} , and \mathbf{c} . This is consistent with the box above.

How is $(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{c}$ related to $(\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) \cdot \mathbf{a}$?

Determinant approach:

$$(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{c} = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$(\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) \cdot \mathbf{a} = \begin{vmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

The determinant forms differ by two switches of pairs of rows, so there is a “double negative” effect, and the determinants are equal.

$$\boxed{(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{c} = (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) \cdot \mathbf{a}}$$

Geometric approach:

(Similar to the first example)

Remember that dot products are commutative, and cross products are anticommutative, so it may be easy to relate some jumbles. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{c} &= \mathbf{c} \cdot (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \\ &= -[\mathbf{c} \cdot (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{a})] \end{aligned}$$

Basically, if you perform a TSP jumble of three vectors in V_3 that makes sense (you only take dot products of two vectors of the same length, and you only take cross products of two vectors in V_3), you either get the original TSP value or its opposite. This is consistent with the geometric interpretation of the absolute value of a TSP as the volume of a parallelepiped determined by the position vectors for the three constituent vectors.